

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/715,809

Applicant(s)

SURESH ET AL.

Examiner

Rachel E. Beveridge

Art Unit

1725

All Participants:

(1) Rachel E. Beveridge.

(2) Christopher Spletzer (52,240).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 16 July 2007

Time: 4:30 pm EDT

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

Claim 22

Prior art documents discussed:

Miyoshi (US 5,699,951)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:


See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



JONATHAN JOHNSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested further claim amendments in additino to those filed May 4, 2007 in order to overcome a rejection over Miyoshi (US 5,699,951) and distinguish the instant invention from the prior art of record. Applicant's representative agreed with the Examiner to amend the independent claims 1 and 12 in order to successfully overcome the prior art of record and therefore expedite the allowance of the instant application..

REB 16 July 2007